### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

**SWABEY OGILVY RENAULT** 1981, Avenue McGill College Bureau 1600 Montréal, Québec H3A 2Y3 **CANADA** 



SWASEY USH MY RENAULT

Micro History

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT** 

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

12.06.2001

Applicant's or agent's file reference

10662-88PCT **F**€

PCT/CA00/00446

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

20/04/2000

Priority date (day/month/year)

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

26/04/1999

Applicant

UNIVERSITE DE MONTREAL et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized officer

Cardenas, C

Tel.+31 70 340-3370





### **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's	or ac	ent's file reference	1			
10662-8	·		FOR FURTHER AC	TION		ation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
Internation	al app	lication No.	International filing date (a	lay/month	/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/CA	00/00	0446	20/04/2000			26/04/1999
G01N33	/48	ent Classification (IPC) or na	tional classification and IPC			
		ational preliminary exam smitted to the applicant a		prepared	by this Inte	rnational Preliminary Examining Authoric,
2. This i	REPO	ORT consists of a total of	5 sheets, including this	cover st	eet.	
b (s	een a see R	eport is also accompanied amended and are the bas dule 70.16 and Section 60 exes consist of a total of	sis for this report and/or s 07 of the Administrative I	sheets c	ontaining re	n, claims and/or drawings which have ctifications made before this Authority e PCT).
3. This r	eport	contains indications rela	ting to the following item	os:		
1	$\boxtimes$	Basis of the report				*5
, H		Priority				
Ш		*	<del>-</del>	elty, inv	entive step a	and industrial applicability
IV		Lack of unity of invention				
V 🛮 Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabilicitations and explanations suporting such statement					ntive step or industrial applicability;	
VI		Certain documents cite				
VII		Certain defects in the in	ternational application			·
VIII						
Date of submission of the demand				Date of c	ompletion of t	his report
				12.06.20	)1 .	
	exami	address of the international ning authority: pean Patent Office - P.B. 58		Authorize	d officer	STORES MICHAEL TO THE STORES AND THE
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas				Van Bo	hemen, C	
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016				Telephon	e No. +31 70	340 2199

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/CA00/00446

	i.	<b>Basis</b>	of the	report
--	----	--------------	--------	--------

	an		response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" of this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):					
	1-1	16	as originally filed					
	Cla	aims, No.:						
	1-1	3	as originally filed					
	Dra	awings, sheets:						
	1/5	-5/5	as originally filed					
2.			uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	ese elements were a	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
			ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of pu	blication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of a t 55.2 and/or 55.3).	ranslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule					
3.	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
		contained in the int	ernational application in written form.					
		filed together with t	he international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.						
4.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/CA00/00446

		the drawings,	sheets:								
5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have be considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):									beer
		(Any replacement she report.)	eet contai	ning such	amendm	ents must t	e referre	ed to under	item 1 an	d annexed to	o this
6.	Add	litional observations, if	necessai	ry:							
V.		soned statement und tions and explanation					y, inven	tive step o	r industria	al applicabi	lity;
1.	Stat	rement									
	Nov	relty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-13						
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-13						
	Indu	istrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-13						

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

### VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document, which has been cited as an "A - document" in the international search report:

D1: R.N. Rosenberg et al. (1986). Precautions in handling tissues, fluids and other contaminated materials from patients with documented or suspected Creutzfeldt-Jacob disease. Annales Of Neurology 19(1), 75-77.

Document D1 is identified as the closest prior art. D1 discloses sterilization procedures for prions in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (cf. D1, page 75, lines 13-16; page 76, table 1). The present application (PA) discloses a method of evaluating the efficiency of the above noted prion sterilization procedures by determining the level of degradation of a prion protein degradation indicator, which has been subjected to the above noted sterilization procedure (PA, page 2, line 23 - page 3, line 29). The prior art, including D1, does not disclose methods of evaluating the efficiency of prion sterilization procedures (cf. PA, page 2, lines 23-25).

In consequence, the objective problem set by the PA is set as follows: the provision of a method of evaluating the efficiency of prion sterilization procedures.

The solution is identified as follows: determining the level of degradation of a prion protein degradation indicator.

The artisan wishing to provide a method of evaluating the efficiency of prion sterilization procedures could find no indication in the prior art, including document D1, to use the level of degradation of a prion protein degradation indicator. Benefit of the above noted method is that it enables a less extreme, but still reliable sterilization procedure to be applied to non-disposable, repeatedly used medical equipment, devices and instruments, thus avoiding corrosion of metallic components and deforming of thermosensitive components of said equipment.

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

In agreement with the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, document D1 and the relevant background art disclosed therein has been mentioned in the description of the PA (cf. PA, page 3, lines 1-3). Finally, claims 1-13 appear to be industrially applicable.

In conclusion, it appears that novelty, inventivity and industrial applicability of claims 1-13 of the PA can be recognized (cf. Article 33(1) - (3) and Rule 64 PCT)

### Re Item VIII

### Certain observations on the international application

- 1. The clarity of the description of the PA could have been enhanced by amending the vague and imprecise statement in the description of the PA on page 15, line 24 to page 16, line 2, which as presently formulated, could imply that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT) when used to interpret them (see also the PCT Guidelines, III-4.3a).
- 2. The clarity ex art. 6 PCT of the preamble of independent claim 1 could have been enhanced by indicating that the "sterilization process" denoted in said preamble is in fact a "prion sterilization process" (cf. PA, page 1, title).